

Consultation on GROS mid-2001, mid-2002, mid-2003 and mid-2004 data zone population estimates

The mid-2001 to mid-2004 data zone population estimates have been released for the first time and we are keen to get user feedback on these data zone estimates.

These estimates have been constrained to the published local authority estimates for mid-2001 to mid-2004.

GROS is planning to undertake further research to consider ways in which the methodology can be improved; user feedback on the data zone estimates will help in this process. As the mid-2001 data zone population estimates are largely derived from Census counts, views are particularly sought on the mid-2002 to mid-2004 estimates produced from this population base, though any comments on the mid-2001 data zone estimates themselves are also welcomed.

This form is intended to record your findings and views on a number of aspects of the data zone estimates themselves and also on geography issues. Alternatively, general comments are very welcome and should be sent to the following address: bryan.cunningham@gro-scotland.gsi.gov.uk.

1. Mid-2001 data zone estimates - total population

Are there any issues with the total population counts, for example do any data zones appear particularly under estimated, and/or other data zones particularly over estimated?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

2. Mid-2002 data zone estimates - total population

Are there any issues with the total population counts, for example do any data zones appear particularly under estimated, and/or other data zones particularly over estimated?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

3. Mid-2003 data zone estimates - total population

Are there any issues with the total population counts, for example do any data zones appear particularly under estimated, and/or other data zones particularly over estimated?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

4. Mid-2004 data zone estimates - total population

Are there any issues with the total population counts, for example do any data zones appear particularly under estimated, and/or other data zones particularly over estimated?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

5. Data Zone population change mid-2001 to mid-2002

Do the population changes implied from mid-2001 to mid-2002 in the estimates adequately reflect anticipated population change, for example from new dwellings, regeneration, communal establishments (e.g., students halls, prisons, care homes, armed forces accommodation) or local migration?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

6. Data Zone population change mid-2002 to mid-2003

Do the population changes implied from mid-2002 to mid-2003 in the estimates adequately reflect anticipated population change, for example from new dwellings, regeneration, communal establishments (e.g., students halls, prisons, care homes, armed forces accommodation) or local migration?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

7. Data Zone population change mid-2003 to mid-2004

Do the population changes implied from mid-2003 to mid-2004 in the estimates adequately reflect anticipated population change, for example from new dwellings, regeneration, communal establishments (e.g., students halls, prisons, care homes, armed forces accommodation) or local migration?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

8. Mid-2001 data zone estimates - quinary age counts

Are there any issues with the data zone quinary age counts, for example do any of the data zones have nonsensical/unrealistic quinary age estimates?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

9. Mid-2002 data zone estimates - quinary age counts

Are there any issues with the data zone quinary age counts, for example do any of the data zones have nonsensical/unrealistic quinary age estimates?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

10. Mid-2003 data zone estimates - quinary age counts

Are there any issues with the data zone quinary age counts, for example do any of the data zones have nonsensical/unrealistic quinary age estimates?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

11. Mid-2004 data zone estimates - quinary age counts

Are there any issues with the data zone quinary age counts, for example do any of the data zones have nonsensical/unrealistic quinary age estimates?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

12. Mid-2001 data zone estimates - male and female counts

Are there any issues with the respective counts of males and females, for example do any of the data zones have unrealistic sex ratios for any particular age groups?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

13. Mid-2002 data zone estimates - male and female counts

Are there any issues with the respective counts of males and females, for example do any of the data zones have unrealistic sex ratios for any particular age groups?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

14. Mid-2003 data zone estimates - male and female counts

Are there any issues with the respective counts of males and females, for example do any of the data zones have unrealistic sex ratios for any particular age groups?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

15. Mid-2004 data zone estimates - male and female counts

Are there any issues with the respective counts of males and females, for example do any of the data zones have unrealistic sex ratios for any particular age groups?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

16. Mid-2001 data zone estimates - population sub-groups/communal establishment population

Where there are known population sub-groups within data zones (e.g. students, armed forces and prisoners) or sizeable communal establishment populations, do the data zone estimates adequately reflect their age and sex characteristics?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

17. Mid-2002 data zone estimates - population sub-groups/communal establishment population

Where there are known population sub-groups within data zones (e.g. students, armed forces and prisoners) or sizeable communal establishment populations, do the data zone estimates adequately reflect their age and sex characteristics?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

18. Mid-2003 data zone estimates - population sub-groups/communal establishment population

Where there are known population sub-groups within data zones (e.g. students, armed forces and prisoners) or sizeable communal establishment populations, do the data zone estimates adequately reflect their age and sex characteristics?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

19. Mid-2004 data zone estimates - population sub-groups/communal establishment population

Where there are known population sub-groups within data zones (e.g. students, armed forces and prisoners) or sizeable communal establishment populations, do the data zone estimates adequately reflect their age and sex characteristics?

Comments (with data zone code examples if applicable):

20. Are there any alternative age groupings for which you would like to receive estimates? Please state:

21. Overall quality of the estimates. If you feel able to judge, how would you classify the quality of the estimates? Please select one box only.

Excellent

Good

Fair

Poor

Any comments?

22. Future Estimates

GROS shall be focusing on producing data zone estimates in the future rather than ward estimates as previously published.

Given the intention to produce estimates for data zones, are you still likely to have a requirement for population estimates at other geographies (e.g. wards)?

Please select:

Yes

No

23. Is there any other geography for which you have a requirement for small area population estimates?

Please state:

24. Do you have any further comments?

Thank you for completing this feedback form, in order to monitor the responses and to reply to any specific issues raised, can you please complete the following:

Name:

Organisation:

Telephone:

Email:

Purpose(s) for which the data zone estimates will be used:

This form can be completed electronically and sent by email to Bryan Cunningham via the Submit Email button below or alternatively the form may be posted and sent to:

Bryan Cunningham
General Register Office for Scotland
Ladywell House
Ladywell Road
Edinburgh
EH12 7TF